

The Effect of Inflation Uncertainty on Household Spending

Johannes J. FISCHER [Deutsche Bundesbank]; Christoph HERLER; [Bank of England]; Philip SCHNATTINGER [Bank of England]

HOW DOES INFLATION UNCERTAINTY AFFECT SPENDING?

An *increase* in uncertainty about one-year ahead inflation causes:

- an *increase* in expected inflation,
- a *decrease* in expected income, and a *strong decrease* in planned spending,
- an *increase* in income risk & perceived risk of job loss,
- an *increase* in uncertainty about five-year ahead inflation.

⇒ Higher inflation uncertainty causes an increase in precautionary savings because of uncertainty about adverse supply shocks (and/or the central bank's reaction).

The Effect is Theoretically Ambiguous

We start with household i 's standard inter-temporal Euler equation:

$$u'(C_{i,t}) = \beta_i \mathbb{E}_{i,t} [R_{t+1} u'(C_{i,t+1})]$$

Approximating the Euler equation to a second order and rewriting shows:

$$\frac{\Delta \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{i,t}(C_{i,t+1})}{\text{Expected Consumption Growth}} \approx \frac{\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{i,t}(\pi_{t+1})}{\text{Expected Inflation}} - \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{\{c,\pi,r\}}^2(\sigma_\pi^2)}{\text{Uncertainty about Inflation, Consumption and Interest Rates}} + \frac{\hat{R}_{t+1}(\sigma_\pi^2)}{\text{Expected Interest Rate (Function of Inflation Uncertainty)}}$$

Hence, effect of inflation uncertainty on consumption growth depends on $\frac{\delta \hat{\sigma}_{\{c,\pi,r\}}^2}{\delta \sigma_\pi^2} \stackrel{?}{>} \frac{\delta \hat{R}_{t+1}}{\delta \sigma_\pi^2}$.

The Experiment

We implement an RCT in a population-representative, rotating panel survey of 6,000 British households conducted by the Bank of England/NMG. The experiment involves four distinct modules:

1. Prior elicitation: expected 1y price growth (probabilistic).
2. Information treatment: 4 equally sized groups - 3 groups receive quantitative & qualitative information about professional forecasters' expectations & disagreement, 1 control group.
3. Posterior elicitation: expected 1y inflation (probabilistic).
4. Expectation elicitation: planned spending, expected income, perceived job loss risk, expected interest rate, expected 5y inflation.

The Information Treatments

Level treatment: **Professional forecasters expect lower inflation than one year ago. The average forecast for inflation over the next year is 2 percent.**

Uncertainty treatment: **Professional forecasters are less uncertain about inflation than one year ago. The highest forecast for inflation over the next year is 2.1 percentage points higher than the lowest forecast.**

Joint treatment: **Professional forecasters expect lower inflation than one year ago. The average forecast for inflation over the next year is 2 percent. Professional forecasters are also less uncertain about inflation than one year ago. The highest forecast for inflation over the next year is 2.1 percentage points higher than the lowest forecast.**

The Treatment Effects

Information treatments successfully move posterior expectations (downwards)

Table 1. Treatment Effect on Expected Inflation

	(1) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{i,t}^{post} \pi_{t+1}$ b/se
$\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{i,t}^{prior} \pi_{t+1}$	0.68*** (0.02)
Level Treatment $\times \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{i,t}^{prior} \pi_{t+1}$	-0.27*** (0.03)
Uncertainty Treatment $\times \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{i,t}^{prior} \pi_{t+1}$	-0.14*** (0.03)
Joint Treatment $\times \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{i,t}^{prior} \pi_{t+1}$	-0.31*** (0.02)
R ²	0.532
N	4,273

Table 2. Treatment Effect on Inflation Uncertainty

	(1) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{i,t}^{post} \sigma_{\pi_{t+1}}$ b/se
$\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{i,t}^{prior} \sigma_{\pi_{t+1}}$	0.95*** (0.01)
Level Treatment $\times \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{i,t}^{prior} \sigma_{\pi_{t+1}}$	-0.05*** (0.02)
Uncertainty Treatment $\times \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{i,t}^{prior} \sigma_{\pi_{t+1}}$	-0.09*** (0.02)
Joint Treatment $\times \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{i,t}^{prior} \sigma_{\pi_{t+1}}$	-0.10*** (0.02)
R ²	0.853
N	4,179

Pass-through Between First and Second Moments

Does inflation uncertainty affect expected inflation? We estimate the effect of the uncertainty treatment relative to the control group as

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{i,t}^{post} \pi_{t+1} = a_0 + b_1 \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{i,t}^{post} \sigma_{\pi_{t+1}} + b_2 \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{i,t}^{prior} \sigma_{\pi_{t+1}} + b_3 \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{i,t}^{prior} \pi_{t+1} + \varepsilon_i$$

and instrument posterior uncertainty with an uncertainty treatment dummy & treatment dummy \times prior uncertainty.

Table 3. Pass-through between expected inflation and inflation uncertainty

	(1) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{i,t}^{post} \sigma_{\pi_{t+1}}$ b/se	(2) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{i,t}^{post} \pi_{t+1}$ b/se
$\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{i,t}^{post} \pi_{t+1}$	0.08*** (0.02)	
$\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{i,t}^{post} \sigma_{\pi_{t+1}}$		0.75** (0.32)
1 st -stage F-stat	108.531	19.727
N	2,302	2,291

Higher inflation uncertainty causes a significant *increase* in expected inflation (and vice versa, as suggested by Friedman, 1977).

Estimating the Response of Spending & Income

Estimate the effect of inflation uncertainty on planned spending & income as:

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{i,t} \ln C_{i,t+1} = \alpha_0 + \beta_1 \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{i,t}^{post} \pi_{t+1} + \beta_2 \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{i,t}^{post} \sigma_{\pi_{t+1}} + \Gamma \mathbf{X}_{i,t} + \varepsilon_i$$

where

- posterior expectations are instrumented with treatment dummies & treatment dummies \times prior expectations,
- $\mathbf{X}_{i,t}$ contains: prior inflation expectations, prior inflation uncertainty, education level, age, sex, household size, liquidity status, log annual income, and perceived inflation.

Two-step estimation procedure following Coibion et al. (2024)

- Estimate first stage using Huber regression with survey weights,
- Estimate weighted IV regression, eliminating outliers with jackknife.

Table 4. Treatment Effect on Expected Income & Spending

	(1) $\ln \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{i,t} Y_{i,t+1}$ b/se	(2) $\ln \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{i,t} C_{i,t+1}$ b/se
$\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{i,t}^{post} \pi_{t+1}$	0.25** (0.11)	2.02 (2.12)
$\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{i,t}^{post} \sigma_{\pi_{t+1}}$	-0.56** (0.22)	-20.14*** (7.67)
F-stat (mean)	16.275	13.016
F-stat (unc)	10.061	6.560
95% CI (mean)	[0.06, 0.44]	[-1.70, 7.40]
95% CI (unc)	[-1.03, -0.17]	[-39.37, -6.82]
N	3,228	1,994

Note: *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% level, respectively. 95% CI refers to weak-instrument robust confidence intervals (in square brackets) for the respective variable constructed using conditional likelihood estimation.

Drivers

An *increase* in uncertainty about one-year ahead inflation also causes:

- higher income uncertainty and perceived risk of job loss,
- higher expected nominal (but not real) interest rates,

Furthermore, the consumption response is

- robust to controlling for expected income & interest rates,
- robust to different measures of uncertainty,
- but not robust to controlling for income uncertainty.

Higher inflation uncertainty increases precautionary savings because it reflects uncertainty about adverse supply shocks (or the central bank's reaction).

The Usual Disclaimer & References

The views expressed here are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official position(s) of the Bundesbank, the Eurosystem, the Bank of England or its committees.

Coibion, O., D. Georganakos, Y. Gorodnichenko, G. Kenny, and M. Weber (2024, March). The effect of macroeconomic uncertainty on household spending. *American Economic Review* 114(3), 645–77.
Friedman, M. (1977). Nobel lecture: Inflation and unemployment. *Journal of political economy* 85(3), 451–472.